IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

WILLIE RENARD ANDERSON, JR.,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
LORIE DAVIS, *Director TDCJ*,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	Civil Action No. 3:19-CV-2915-C-BK

ORDER

Before the Court are the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge therein advising the Court that Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be denied and dismissed with prejudice.[1]

The Court conducts a *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which a timely objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Portions of the report or proposed findings or recommendations that are not the subject of a timely objection will be accepted by the Court unless they are clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989).

After due consideration and having conducted a *de novo* review, the Court finds that Petitioner's objections should be **OVERRULED**. The Court has further conducted an independent review of the Magistrate Judge's findings and conclusions and finds no error. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation are hereby

[1] Petitioner filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation on June 25, 2021.

ADOPTED as the findings and conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated therein, the Court **ORDERS** that Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 be **DENIED** and **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

SO ORDERED this 19th day of July, 2021.

SAM R. CUMMINGS
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE